

UNITED STATES DE. ..RTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

L	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
Ī	00/5/5,0	17Z - 1Z7ZZ	AP MARYAMPKI	1	A 47681-P037ÚS
ſ	_		LM41/1229	_	EXAMINER

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI SUITE 2800 2200 ROSS AVENUE DALLAS TX 75201

MAUNG, N

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

12/29/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

) D-90C (Rev. 2/95)

1- File Copy



Advisory Action

Application No.

08/579,072

Wyszynski

Examiner

Nay Aung Maung

Group Art Unit 2744



THE PERIOD FOR RE	ESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) X expires	•	
b) expires eithe	er three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the da	tion, whichever te of the final
date on which the res	ne must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropsesponse, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the od of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.1 date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	nurnoses of
Appellant's Brief is period for respons	is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on see set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	(or within any
Applicant's response but is NOT deemed to	to the final rejection, filed on $\underline{Oct\ 1,\ 1999}$ has been considered with the follows to place the application in condition for allowance:	wing effect,
X The proposed ame	endment(s):	
	d upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
_	new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
·	the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
issues for a		
	nt additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims	
	r proposed claims 22-25 and limitation to claim 13, i.e., "circuit" raised new issues req	uiring further
<u>Searcri</u>	h and/or consideration by the examiner.	
Applicant's res	sponse has overcome the following rejection(s):	
☐ Newly proposed o	or amended claims would be allowable if stilled amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	submitted in a
Newly proposed o separate, timely fi	or amended claims would be allowable if s filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. hibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applica	
☐ Newly proposed of separate, timely firm of allowance became in the separate of the separate	or amended claims would be allowable if s filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Thibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applications: Cause: Thibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered becau	tion in condition
Newly proposed of separate, timely file. The affidavit, exhist for allowance because The affidavit or extine Examiner in the	or amended claims would be allowable if s filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Thibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applications: Cause: Thibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue the second considered becau	tion in condition
Newly proposed of separate, timely file. The affidavit, exhifted for allowance became the Examiner in the Examiner in the Examiner allowed:	or amended claims would be allowable if stilled amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Inibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applications: Exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were the final rejection. Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	newly raised by
Newly proposed of separate, timely file of allowance because The affidavit or exting the Examiner in the Examiner in the Claims allowed: Claims objected to	or amended claims would be allowable if stilled amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Inibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applications: Exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were the final rejection. Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	newly raised by
Newly proposed of separate, timely file of allowance because The affidavit or exting the Examiner in the Examiner in the Claims allowed: Claims objected to	or amended claims would be allowable if stilled amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Inibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applications: Exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were the final rejection. Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	newly raised by
Newly proposed of separate, timely file of allowance because The affidavit or exting the Examiner in the Examiner in the Examiner in the Claims allowed: Claims objected to Claims rejected: 1	or amended claims would be allowable if stilled amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Inibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applications: Exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were the final rejection. Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	newly raised by
Newly proposed of separate, timely file of allowance because The affidavit or expension to the Examiner in the Examiner in the Claims allowed: Claims objected to Claims rejected: 1 The proposed draw	or amended claims would be allowable if stilled amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Inibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applications: Exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were in the final rejection. Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): O:	newly raised by
Newly proposed of separate, timely file of allowance because The affidavit or expension to the Examiner in the Examiner in the Examiner in the Claims allowed: Claims objected to Claims rejected: The proposed draw Note the attached	or amended claims would be allowable if stilled amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Inibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applications: Exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a final rejection. Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): 1-21	newly raised by
Newly proposed of separate, timely file of allowance because The affidavit or expension to the Examiner in the Examiner in the Examiner in the Claims allowed: Claims objected to Claims rejected: The proposed draw Note the attached	or amended claims would be allowable if stilled amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Inibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applications: Exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were in the final rejection. Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): O:	newly raised by
Newly proposed of separate, timely file of allowance because The affidavit or expension to the Examiner in the Examiner in the Examiner in the Claims allowed: Claims objected to Claims rejected: The proposed draw Note the attached	or amended claims would be allowable if stilled amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Inibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applications: Exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were in the final rejection. Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): O:	newly raised by